BOCKET FILE COPY ONIGINAL

KirbyIMC.com
Phn. 919-272-1157
Fax 206-203-0502
Dave@KirbyIMC.com

Health Information Management-Innovation, Security, Privacy

David Kirby
President, CISSP, CHPS

Mr. Thomas Buckley
Sr. Deputy Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division
Wireline Competition Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St SW
Washington DC 20054
(also sent via email to Thomas Buckley@fcc.gov)

FILED/ACCEPTED

JUN - 8 2009

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Proposed RHCPP Project Merger – WC Docket No. – 02-60 Merger of North Carolina RHCPP projects: 6/4/09

Dear Mr. Buckley:

The set of four letters that follows this cover letter represent a response to inquiries made by Jamie Susskind and Ernesto Beckford of the FCC with regard to a set of letters dated 5/13/09 requesting a merger of the four RHCPP projects in North Carolina. Ms. Susskind and Mr. Beckford have asked that the requesting parties document the three additional points covered in these letters from the four parties representing the projects.

Please advise me if we can be of further assistance in the speedy processing the merger request.

Yours truly.

J. David Kirby

President, KirbyIMC

D. David Kirly

No. of Copies rec'd 0 */
List A B C D E



Mr. Thomas Buckley
Sr. Deputy Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division
Wireline Competition Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St SW
Washington DC 20054

Proposed RHCPP Project Merger – WC Docket No. – 02-60 Merger of North Carolina RHCPP projects: 5/28/09

Dear Mr. Buckley:

This letter is in response to inquiries made by Jamie Susskind and Ernesto Beckford of the FCC with regard to a set of letters dated 5/13/09 requesting a merger of the four RHCPP projects in North Carolina. Ms. Susskind and Mr. Beckford have asked that the requesting parties document three additional points related to the merger request. This letter and the ones from the other requesting parties below are meant to provide this documentation.

- 1) The NCTN Project as pursued alone by the Cabarrus Health Alliance will continue to pursue its RHCPP project goals whether the merger is granted or not.
- 2) The entities that were intended to be offered broadband connections/services in the NCTN project will be offered broadband connections/services in the merged project in a way that will meet our goals for our RHCPP proposal.
- 3) The merged project will be able to use RHCPP funds at least as efficiently as the unmerged projects would have. We expect that the merged project will be able to gain some advantage in pricing for broadband services due to being a larger project. This aspect of the merged project, therefore, may be more efficient.

Sincerely yours,

Dr. William F. Pilkington

Director, Cabarrus Health Alliance

1307 South Cannon Boulevard • Kannapolis, North Carolina 28083

Phone: 704-920-1000 • Fax 704-933-3345 • www.CabarrusHealth.org

of Eastern Carolinaw

May 12, 2009

OFFICE OF THE CHEEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER Mr. Thomas Buckley
Sr. Deputy Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division
Wireline Competition Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St SW
Washington DC 20054

Proposed RHCPP Project Merger – WC Docket No. – 02-60 Merger of North Carolina RHCPP projects:

Dear Mr. Buckley:

This letter is in response to inquiries made by Jamie Susskind and Ernesto Beckford of the FCC with regard to a set of letters dated 5/13/09 requesting a merger of the four RHCPP projects in North Carolina. Ms. Susskind and Mr. Beckford have asked that the requesting parties document three additional points related to the merger request. As we understand it having this documentation is essential to processing the merger request. This letter and the ones from the other requesting parties below are meant to provide this documentation.

- The University Health Systems of Eastern NC (UHS) does not see a
 reasonable way at this time to move forward with its RHCPP project except
 via this requested merger. The merger is our best alternative for moving
 forward and without it we would likely have to consider ending our
 participation in the RHCPP.
- 2) The entities that were intended to be offered broadband connections/services in the UHS project will be offered broadband connections/services in the merged project in a way that will meet our goals for our RHCPP proposal.
- 3) The merged project will be able to use RHCPP funds at least as efficiently as the UHS project would have. We expect that the merged project will be able to gain some advantage in pricing for broadband services due to being a larger project. This aspect of the merged project, therefore, may be more efficient.

We will await your formal notice that the projects are merged.

Mr. Stuart James

Sincerely your

Chief Information Officer

SOUTHWESTERN COMMISSION

125 Bonnie Lane, Sylva, NC 28713

TELEPHONE: (828) 586 1962 E-MAIL:

bill@regiona.org

FAX. WEBSITE:

(828) 586-1968 www.regiona.org



Pat Smathers, Mayor of Canton Chairman of the Board

May 29, 2009

Cherokee County

Andrews Murphy

Clay County

Havesville

Graham County

Robbinsville Lake Santeetlah

Haywood County

Canton Clyde Maggie Valley Waynesville

Jackson County

Dillaboro Forest Hills Sylva Webster

Macon County

Franklip Highlands

Swain County

Bryson City

Mr. Thomas Buckley Sr. Deputy Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division Wireline Competition Bureau

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th St SW

Washington DC 20054

Proposed RHCPP Project Merger – WC Docket No. – 02-60 Merger of North Carolina RHCPP projects:

Dear Mr. Buckley:

This letter is in response to inquiries made by Jamie Susskind and Ernesto Beckford of the FCC with regard to a set of letters dated 5/13/09 requesting a merger of the four RHCPP projects in North Carolina. Ms. Susskind and Mr. Beckford have asked that the requesting parties document three additional points related to the merger request. As we understand it having this documentation is essential to processing the merger request. This letter and the ones from the other requesting parties below are meant to provide this documentation.

- 1) The Southwestern Commission (SWC) sees no way to move forward with its RHCPP project except via this requested merger. Without the merger, we expect to be forced to end our participation in the RHCPP.
- 2) The entities that were intended to be offered broadband connections/services in the SWC project will be offered broadband connections/services in the merged project in a way that will meet our goals for our RHCPP proposal.
- 3) The merged project will be able to use RHCPP funds at least as efficiently as the UHS project would have. We expect that the merged project will be able to gain some advantage in pricing for broadband services due to being a larger project. This aspect of the merged project, therefore, may be more efficient.

ely yours.

ill Gibson Executive Director



Albemarle Hospital
Regional Medical Center
Regional Oncology Center
Island Medical Center
Gates County Medical Center
Albemarle Hospital Faundation

Community Care Clinics

1144 N. Road Street Elizabeth City, NC 27909 252.335.0531 www.albemarlehealth.org

June 5, 2009

Mr. Thomas Buckley
Sr. Deputy Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division
Wireline Competition Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St SW
Washington DC 20054

Proposed RHCPP Project Merger – WC Docket No. – 02-60 Merger of North Carolina RHCPP projects

Dear Mr. Buckley:

This letter is in response to inquiries made by Jamie Susskind and Ernesto Beckford of the FCC with regard to a set of letters dated 5/13/09 requesting a merger of the four RHCPP projects in North Carolina. Ms. Susskind and Mr. Beckford have asked that the requesting parties document three additional points related to the merger request. As we understand it having this documentation is essential to processing the merger request. This letter and the ones from the other requesting parties below are meant to provide this documentation.

- 1) Albemarle Health does not see a reasonable way to move forward with its RHCPP project except via this requested merger. The merger is our best alternative for moving forward and without it we would likely have to consider ending our participation in the RHCPP.
- 2) The entities that were intended to be offered broadband connections/services in the Albemarle Network Telemedicine Initiative will be offered broadband connections/services in the merged project in a way that will meet our goals for our RHCPP proposal.
- 3) The merged project will be able to use RHCPP funds at least as efficiently as the Albemarle Network Telemedicine Initiative would have. We expect that the merged project will be able to gain some advantage in pricing for broadband services due to being a larger project. This aspect of the merged project, therefore, may be more efficient.

Please advise on your final decision to the above mentioned merger.

Sincerely yours,

Stephen W. Clark, FACHE Chief Information Officer

Stoplan W. Clark